

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



2

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,948		08/23/2000	Kaori Yasufuku	2423-6	9826
22204	7590	12/19/2001			
NIXON PE		•	EXAMINER		
8180 GREE SUITE 800			FIGUEROA, FELIX O		
MCLEAN,	VA 2210	2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2833	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>N</i>					
	Application No.	App_nt(s)						
Service Comments	09/643,948	YASUFUKU ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Felix O. Figueroa	2833						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status  1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 i	November 2001							
,	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	<u></u>							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	٦.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But a See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			on).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been re	ceived.						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						
LS Patent and Trademark Office								

. Application/Control Number: 09/643,948

Art Unit: 2833

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Continued Prosecution Application**

The request filed on 11/13/01 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/643,948 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tondreault (US 5,769,668) in view of Geib (US 4,761,140).

Tondreault discloses a connector body (10) having a receiving part (14) having a contacts (20) provided in a groove that contact the conductive pad on top and bottom surfaces of the a module, a supporting part (24,26) which extends rearward from the receiving part, and a positioning mechanism (28,30) to hold a module in a forward-rearward direction. Tondreault discloses substantially the claimed invention except for metallic cover.

Geib teaches the use of a hinged, removable, metallic cover (14) including first connection means (102) and second connection means (98), to keep a module in place. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

. Application/Control Number: 09/643,948

Art Unit: 2833

the invention was made to use a cover, as taught by Geib, to keep the module from moving from the connecting position.

Alternatively, Geib shows that a metallic cover (14) including first connection means (102) and second connection means (98) is an equivalent structure known in the art for retaining structure of Tondreault. Therefore, because these two retention structures were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious the substitution of the retention structure of Tondreault for a metallic cover of Geib to keep the module from moving from the connecting position.

Claims 5, 6, 10, 11, 16, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tondreault and Geib as applied to claims 4, 9 and 15 above, and further in view of Loo et al. (US 5,648,890).

Loo teaches the use of a cover (16) having a window (38) and a heat sink (20) in the window covering a conductive member (12) to dissipate the heat from the conductive member. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a heat sink covering a conductive member, as taught by Loo, to perform a heat dissipation function.

Claims 7, 8, 12, 13, 18 and 19 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tondreault and Geib as applied to claims 4, 9 and 15 above, and further in view of Cronin et al. (US 6,246,583).

Cronin teaches a cover including a contacting part to transfer the thermal energy to a heat sink (211). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the

. Application/Control Number: 09/643,948

Art Unit: 2833

art at the time the invention was made to use a contacting part, as taught by Cronin, to transfer the thermal energy to the heat sink.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Regarding applicant's argument regarding the Response to Arguments in the prior office action, please note that the motivation, in this case, is shown by the secondary reference. Additionally, it is understood that one having ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious and desirable the property of redundancy, and that the basis for this is presented by the definition of "redundancy", defined by The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition as "Duplication or repetition of elements in electronic equipment to provide alternative functional channels in case of failure." Copyright © 2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Matsuoka et al. (US 5,109,980) teaches a cover attached to the base part and the side arms. Obara et al. (US 6,308,772) teaches a heat sink (8) attached to conductive part of a cover (8').

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felix O. Figueroa whose telephone number is (703) 308-0097. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,948

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

ffr

December 8, 2001

Gary Paumen
Primary Examiner